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Christian responsibility? School spirit?

On April 14 the Red Cross will collect blood here for the city's veterans. The unit will be set up in the women's lounge. The actual donation takes only about five minutes and there is a short examination period before and a rest period after. And it doesn't hurt!

Any healthy student over 110 lbs. can give. Parent permission slips for those under 21 and pledge cards can be obtained from class representatives or at Room 156 and can be left in the information office.

Last year Marion had 60 donors out of the whole student body.

TURNABOUT

To the sophisticated, fine, upstanding and lovely ladies of this institution greetings.

You are invited to pick your own date for the Turnabout Dance, April 10, and have the supreme satisfaction of paying for the whole affair!

The moon is guaranteed to be very romantic, the breeze soft, and the stars will be out to give a rapturous illumination to the embraces of your chosen one. It's, for damn sure you won't want to miss an evening like that.

Even the mixed lounge will be arranged in a tender, passionate fashion so when your date wins the prize for having the most original necktie you can hang him with it.

The candidates for KING are:

Senior
Bob Hensel

Junior
Joe Richter

Sophomore
Jerry Ben

Freshman
Dave Siefert

A sporadic survey by the CARBON yesterday revealed that four-fifths of the student body drink alcoholic beverages varying from a little to a lot.

The non-drinkers were females and they expressed such opinions as "I don't need to", and another surmised that she didn't drink because it "was against the law and a sign of immaturity for people under 21 to drink." Another female stated that she has "Never had the opportunity" and was "waiting for someone to take her to Daymon's."

The male segment of the survey were all drinkers and some consumed beer in terms of "gallons." The males said they drank because of the "conviviality" or as a "pastime," "enjoyment," "kicks," "relief from tension" and one student said "just to defy school policy."

Most students could not give a legitimate reason why they drank but the main reason appears to be enjoyment.

Below is listed a few remarks of the drinkers:

Never been restricted at home.
To escape from reality.
A social crutch.
Relaxation.
Enjoy the taste.
The feel of it.

Average amount per week in beers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beers</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T.C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total average per week:

8.4 beers or ½ pint.

CARBON DICTIONARY

THERMOMETERS: Something else graduated with degrees without having brains.

ROSSIP FROM SCOUTS? SCIENCE MAD

They know all about electricity.
A politically-minded ion hears that there is going to be an electron, so he goes to the poles and volts.

Lectures are like steer horns—a point here, a point there, and a lot of bull in between.

Sports Information
Polo is golf with fertilizer!!

The faculty will play the Finks in a "basketball" game Sunday night. 25¢ adm.
CIVIL RIGHTS SURVEY

Just how do you feel toward Negroes? And what do you know about the Civil Rights Bill? Recently forty-seven Marian students were polled concerning the Negro in general and the Civil Rights Bill in particular. The purpose of this poll was to determine students' attitudes toward Negroes and the Civil Rights Bill. Keep in mind that the students who participated in this survey were given questionnaires to fill out in private—thus insuring truthful, pressure-free replies.

The first question asked was: "Do you feel that you adequately know the provisions of the Civil Rights Bill?" And to this question only 11 students could answer yes, while 33 answered no, (which leaves 3 people with a "no reply.")

Closely related to the previous question, question number two asked: "From what you know concerning the Civil Rights Bill, do you think that it is morally just, legally just, and prudent?" Here is what students felt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morally just?</th>
<th>Legally just?</th>
<th>Prudent?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES (38)</td>
<td>YES (22)</td>
<td>YES (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO (2)</td>
<td>NC (10)</td>
<td>NO (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO OPIN. (7)</td>
<td>NO OPIN. (15)</td>
<td>NO OPIN. (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Looking at the replies, one notices a substantial difference between the morality and legality and the morality and prudence of the Civil Rights Bill—in the minds of the students questioned. Obviously, almost all of those questioned felt that the Civil Rights Bill is moral, many felt it to be legal, but few felt it is prudent.

The third question asked students if they felt that the Civil Rights Bill should be stronger, weaker, or abolished. And of the 47 polled, 15 said it should be stronger, 6—weaker, 18—the same, 4—abolished, and 6—no comment. An interesting point here is that only 10 students felt that the bill should be weaker or abolished; yet in the previous question, 20 students said that the bill is not prudent.

Getting away from the Civil Rights Bill, the next question struck considerably closer to the question of feelings toward the Negro. The fourth question asked, "Do you know a Negro as either a friendly acquaintance or as a friend?" Surprisingly, all 47 interviewed said that they knew a Negro as a friendly acquaintance. And when asked if they had a Negro as a friend, 35 said yes, 6 said no, and 6 had no comment.

The next question asked, "If you had your own home, would you invite a Negro into your home for dinner?" To this question 40 said that they would and 7 said that they would not.

The final question, a controversial one, was a little different from the others in that it had to answer in detail. The question asked what your action would be toward a Negro family moving into your neighborhood. And, as could be expected, answers ranged from "complete acceptance" to "forceful disapproval." For example, one person said, "I would act no different toward the Negro—unless more friendly." But on the other hand was a student who said, "I would not tolerate it. I would either move out or make them move out—probably the latter." However, the average person polled did not feel this way. In fact fewer than 10 people said that they would harbor any unfavorable action toward an incoming Negro family. Most of the people replied that they would welcome the Negro family, if they kept up their property. And, for the most part, those who objected to a Negro family moving into their neighborhood, did so because of "a drop in property value"—not because of the Negroes themselves. However, many others questioned pointed out that, for the most part, this drop in property value was due to the white man's attitude and that this attitude is slowly being changed and will eventually disappear. This controversy about property value was climaxed by one person who replied that he had lived next door to a Negro family for ten years and that they were better than the white family that has moved in since.

Dan Armstrong

MAIDS WIN TOURNAMENT

Last Saturday at the Indiana Central Volleyball Tournament, the Marian Maids emerged victorious defeating Franklin, Normal, and Indiana Central.

Congratulations to the Maids with hopes for a continuing perfect season.

What's yellow and goes click-click?
A ball-point banana.

What's green and dances?
Fred Asparagus.