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I am against...

I am for...
EDITORIAL

I think it is a good sign that a project completely initiated and carried out by a group of students was successful. I'm referring to the recent trip taken by the Drum and Bugle. I'm sure not many realize just how much work went into such a small 5 day trip. I never imagined there were so many letters to be written or so much money to be scrounged up. When you think about busing 80 kids plus boosters 1010 miles South and then having one of the nicer hotels to stay in, a considerable amount of money not to mention planning is needed.

Everything went pretty smoothly (I think we did our best concert for our one-man audience). Everyone made it out of the bars or pool in time for rehearsals and performances and were even in at least a semi-conscious state. I suppose our roughest day was Saturday due to our unfamiliarity with that Florida sun. All of us vain people headed to the beach with visions of luscious brown bodies. We found out that is not students. I don't know the answers to these questions. All us were in more pain than we had ever before. In the case of scorched skin. (except for Emming who kept claiming he wasn't vain.) After 3 hours waiting at the parade site and one hour marching I know some of us were in more pain than we had ever before experienced.

The worst part of the deal was that there was no immediate care to comfort us. By now, the only sign of this torment is peeling skin, but I know I'd do it again. I think everyone had a good time. I am aware some have complaints to certain people or certain situations but this is a fact of any undertaking. But over all I feel the trip was organized well (everyone did arrive home safely) and most people were very cooperative. I think credit should be given to Dave Pappandria and the other officers who have worked their tails off the last 7 months to make this trip possible, IT WAS WORTH IT!

emcee

*******************************************************************************

EDITORIAL

Who can solve the following riddle?

What's two-faced, changes opinion arbitrarily, works to the detriment of students and apparently lacks any semblance of integrity? If you answered the office of the Dean of Student Services, you're on the right track. I'd hate to think they all fit the above description, but obviously some do.

It's true gang; once again WHW has defecated on the students. Remember the College Council meeting March 15th? Did WHW raise any objections to the Guest Hours Proposal in front of the students? Did not WHW in fact vote in favor of this proposal? You can bet your sweet life the answer to this second question is "no" and the answer to the third is "yes".

Now that we have established this out front, we can delve into the dichotomous opinions which WHW has since espoused. Wednesday past, Elaine Luthman met with the Student Services Committee, Marian College Board of Trustees to present the MCSA guest hours proposal to them for subsequent presentation to the Board of Trustees on April 15th. Guess who was lurking in the cavernous recesses of this meeting seeking to do irreparable damage to this proposal? I think you're beginning to catch on. It was our very own William H. Woodman.

WHW reported to the members of this committee that he had "negative feelings" concerning this proposal. Why did he not voice his opinion at the College Council meeting where it was open to discussion to both students and administration. Was it a fear of looking bad in front of the students? Was he content to simply sit passively and let College Council approve the policy knowing that he would get his opportunity with the Board of Trustees after the matter was out of the hands of the students? I don't know the answers to these questions. Perhaps Dean Woodman would take the first opportunity to enlighten the students as to exactly what his position is.

When Dean Woodman voted in favor of this proposal at College Council, I felt that his support would be of great benefit when the proposal came before the Board of Trustees. I have obviously been too ambitious in my expectations. What I find totally unfathomable is that Dean Woodman could vote in favor of a proposal and then seemingly completely alter his opinion. I think it imperative that the student body demand that Dean Woodman make public his position on this policy. An informal gathering where students would be free to question the Dean would seem a feasible means to accomplish this.

*************************** ******* * ** ******** ***

Report of Student Services Committee, MCBOT

On March 31, 1976, the Student Services Committee under the Board of Trustees met in Dean Woodman's office. Those attending the meeting were myself, Mr. John Dillon, of Dillon, Kelley, McCarthy in Indianapolis, Mrs. Frederick H. Evans II, Miss Pat Jeffers, coordinator of Financial Aid, I-V Tech and alumni representative to the B of T, Mr. Paul G. Pitz, Vice-President for personnel, America States Insurance Companies; Rev. Mother Miriam Clare Heshamp, O.S.F. Mother Superior, Sisters of St. Frances, and Dean Woodman. All are committee members except Dean Woodman.

The meeting was requested by myself, and was set up by Pres. Gatto. The meeting was called to consider the Guest Hour Policy and its recommendation to be drawn up by this committee to be presented at the Board of Trustees meeting in April 15. What the committee recommends to the Board of Trustees will most probably show in the voting results. So, it is most important that the committee take our position and favorably recommend extension of the guest hours policy.
However, my feelings after the meeting did not reflect a favorable recommendation. Perhaps if I had been given the opportunity to meet with just the committee members as was intended, a favorable attitude could have been formed. But, I was not given the chance. Dean Woodman was present and because of his presence, things did not work out as planned or hoped for.

I was given the impression that how College Council voted didn’t really matter. So, was all that work worth it if, when it goes before the Board of Trustees they ask Dean Woodman if the College Council actually represents the faculty and administration?

Then, Dean Woodman expressed his “negative feelings” to the committee members and again brought before them his conclusive study that co-educational living has no effect on the academics of the institution. (Remember that from College Council, people?) Dean Woodman then proceeded to question me, to put me on the spot in front of the committee members. He emphasized that we wanted the original weekend hours because of Marian’s repressed social atmosphere back in 1971-1972, and we now want weekday hours because of Marian’s repressed social atmosphere here in 1976, and in 4 or 5 more years, we will seek open visitation!!

How does one reply to such a stupid question when one is trying to relay and maintain a positive, supportive attitude with the committee members in hope of passing a policy? Wasn’t Dean Woodman suppose to help me present it in front of the committee? He voted in support of the policy, so shouldn’t we be working together??

The meeting continued on as such. The questions raised by the Board members were well expected, such as, is there considerations for those who do not favor the policy—like a restrictive wing, etc. I had expected such questions and hoped to answer them properly. After all, they were seeking student opinion—and of passed procedures for its effectiveness. That seemed in line. What was most upsetting, besides Dean Woodman’s hypocrisy, was the conclusion to the meeting—when I (maybe they didn’t remember that I was a committee member) was asked to leave so they could confer on the recommendation. However, Dean Woodman stayed and he is not a member. He has no more voting power on the Board of Trustees than I do.

It’s really amazing. I’m on the committee—yet I can’t even report to you what the results of the meeting were. We just all have to wait in the background—again—and hear the results from President Gatto’s office as before.

Last I knew, I’m allowed to attend the Board of Trustees meeting. I know I have no voting power, yet, I still hope to be able to attend.

So—I hope things work out. Maybe my next report to the Student body will be more positive. I still have a lot of questions to ask, people to see, results to be found. Until then—think about it.

Elaine Luthman

Carathon
April 6, 7, 8. 24 hr.
-attractions...
Ken Eltmendorf, Dance contest, marathon, jail, Lone Rangers, Dean Woodman on the trumpet, gold fish eating contest!! Paul Casey,
Sponsored by Upbeat.... Kenny Rogers Scholarship.

Elections
All Class elections. Nominations open Today!! Pick up forms in Information Office. Nominations close Tuesday Elections Wednesday. Start thinking about it!! Do something for ol Marvin U.
Don’t forget Day Students too
—No Student Board Sunday
Next Friday, April 9th, the Perc will hear music it
never has heard before. Jazz... in its pure form... will sound from 8:00 to 11:00 p.m.
This will be real jazz. It's something that you don't hear too often at Marvin U., on the radio, or anywhere else. For anyone interested in hearing more of this American form of music, it's a great chance.
A quartet of Ron Smith, Mark Nichols, Joe Fair and myself will be playing works by great jazz artists, such as Dave Brubeck and Duke Ellington, as well as backing up some fine vocalists who will be singing Gershwin, among others. The setting is a speak-easy, complete with a password. (Check Posters)
This student-performed program is sponsored by the Chorale Department, who will charge an admission of $3.50. For any student wishing to hear something new and different, we'll see you there.

Phil Kern

******************************
To the masses:

April 5-9 is Pitch-In Week. Marian College is entering a contest and competing for ten $1000 and $500 prizes to be awarded to the best clean-up fix-up project on campus. Marian College has two entries:

1) Japanese tea house clean-up for the Japanese tea ceremony later in April. Students can call or see Sister Theresa in the library (ext. 240,242). The work will be raking, sweeping, window washing, etc.

2) Lake project to halt erosion. Students can call Sr. Marie Bernard (ext. 208, biology) or see a Biology Club member. The work will be filling in a gully around a new pipe that was installed for drainage from the hill side near the lake.
Paul Fox is taking before and after photos of each project to submit with our entry.

Thank-you

Mr. Eoff

******************************
Dear Carbon,

Upon reading last week's "Carbon Hissies" I became concerned about the ability of the Carbon editors to view the Marian situation in a realistic light. When I first came to Marian College in 1970 the student population was approaching 2,000. The economy as well as the attitude toward a college education, in the midst of decline, began demonstrating its effects on the Admissions Office. Unfortunately, the unwritten policies of the Marian College administration changed, while the policies remained static, which compounded

(continued)

the decline in enrollment. However, my intent in writing this particular letter is not to define and exemplify the counter-productive attitudes of the administration.

One of the major problems which must be conquered in attempting to increase enrollment is publicity. No one can sell an item, whether it be a product or a service, while the consumer remains ignorant of its existence. Marian is currently in a publicity drive. I'm sure many of you have seen or heard of Marian's commercial being run on the local television stations. The College has also opened its facilities to many outside organizations for meetings, etc.

Hopefully, the College will remember that its services are to be directed primarily to the students. In addition, the student body will, hopefully, present itself as courteous and grateful hosts to these groups. On the whole, I feel that both the College and the students are fulfilling my expectations. However, the actions of a few tend to overshadow the general feelings of a community.

The Carbon editors became an example of this phenomenon by insulting the participants of the Investors Diversified Services Training Program. I sincerely hope that the employees of IDS realize that the majority of us do not feel that they are clowns, nor are they unwelcome here.

Don Beckwith

---------

EDITORS NOTE

Mr. Beckwith,

Applauds and hisses are not solely the work of the Carbon editors. Numerous persons on campus contribute their thoughts to this column. Before attacking the personal judgement and integrity of the editors, it would behoove you to properly discern the source of these statements.

Editor

---------

SOCCER NEWS

For those who have not been told, the Marvin U. Soccer team stuck it in their ears as they rolled over the Butler Bulldogs by the score of 6-1. Joe Putz started the scoring with a short kick in the early opening and then the flood gates were opened. Marian had the obvious advantage with a stiff wind to their backs and came out with a half-time score of 4-0. Marian, now heading into the wind, still overpowered Butler with good ball control and outscored them in the second half 2-1.

Scoring honors for the day were shared by Jamal "Jimmy" Nomm and his drab cohort Habib with two apiece. The other goals were kicked by Putz and Jim Stockraham. The other half of a good team is a good
defense to compliment a good offense. Defensive stars of the game were Wally Leibel, Don Dunevant, Bill Ritteman, Curt Stoll, Lynard Crouch and "sticky fingers" Carmo.

Tonight the team can be found at Notre Dame at 7:30 on the Astroturf practice field. Then, coming Tuesday there will be a return match with the Fighting Irish here at 4:00 down by the lake. This will be Picture Day, and team pictures will be taken. Bring a camera and get a shot of your favorite star. Then on Thursday there is another away game at St. Josephs. See you there.

CRUEX CORNER

Five out of six ain't bad, and I've never felt better in losing than watching IU win it all. We've all heard enough about how great a team they are, so I won't dwell on it. But I do want to say something about the stupidity of the NCAA. They complain about not filling Freedom Hall and threaten a black out. All they had to do is send IU there instead of Baton Rouge and it would have been SRO. The other major complaint is the rough road the winners of the Mid-East and Mid-West would have to take. The East and West regionals were cake walks for UCLA and Rutgers, it's a shame that teams like Cincy, Notre Dame, Marquette, and Alabama were beaten early and a team like Rutgers makes it to the final four. I was embarrassed for the Scarlet Knights, for they finally ran into some tough competitors and it's too bad it had to be so late in the season. But there's the breaks.

In response to Bob Mack's letter, I wish you would have talked to me first Bob. You directed your letter to the Dudes as a team. Well, I was solely responsible for the article and the team knew nothing about it. Secondly, I don't believe I said anything about hiring refs. I know the money situation here, we couldn't even afford new official shirts, let alone the bodies to fill them with. What I did say was to get new student refs. There are a hand full of profs here at Marian who've raised several other secondary questions, all of which reinforce the obscenity of the administrator's position of representation. These include, and all students should question, exactly why the MCSA President was excused; and more important, why Dean Woodman (who is neither a member of the committee nor is an initiator of this proposal) was personally invited to this meeting, where he expressed "negative feelings" toward the policy.

To the masses:

It is common knowledge that the Guest Hours Extension Proposal was passed by the College Council (20-1-1), and that the Marian College Student Association both initiated and funded the proposal. It is also evident to all that the majority of faculty, students, parents, residence assistants, and residence directors are in favor of this change in the present guest hours policy. Hence, it appears that the proposal now has the required momentum and support to pass through the Board of Trustees vote, and as a result become enacted into policy. Yet, through all this glory, one thing should be pointed out; it is definitely not certain that the administration supports the proposal. This, students, is significant information, because the proposal and therefore the students will be represented at the Board of Trustees by the president, and since this in a student proposal, it would be nice if every student knew how their proposal was going to be represented. At the College Council meeting in which the proposal was introduced, the President consistently did not indicate any concrete opinion of the proposal. At the next meeting he augmented this ambiguous attitude; his absence when the vote was called was conspicuous.

Currently, the proposal will not become policy until it is 'rubber-stamped' by the Board of Trustees. Theoretically, the students have their own voice on this body, because the Trustees last year provided for a student member on their Student Services committee. One privilege of this membership is that the President of the student association may call a meeting of the committee whenever the Board of Trustees is going to discuss a matter of valid student concern. The guest hours proposal is one such matter, so a meeting was called; it was scheduled for last Wednesday, March 31. This meeting should have proven two things; first, how the administration regards the proposal, and second, how some of the Trustees would react to the proposal.

Yet, there still exists an air of mystery about how this proposal will be represented at the actual Board of Trustees meeting, because the President of the Student Association was excused from the meeting before the decision by them was reached! Incidentally, this meeting raises several other secondary questions, all of which reinforce the obscenity of the administrator's position of representation. These include, and all students should question, exactly why the MGSA President was excused; and more important, why Dean Woodman (who is neither a member of the committee nor is the President) was personally invited to this meeting, where he expressed "negative feelings" toward the policy.

In conclusion, this proposal is student initiated, and student funded; yet its ultimate success is contingent upon how it is presented to the Board of Trustees, which is to be accomplished by the President, an administrator. And ironically, the students have no idea of his intentions and inclinations. The students should ask themselves why, but better yet, should ask President Gatto why.

John M. Klemen