FRIDAY, 1 OCTOBER: This is the first Friday of that Virgin Month October. Say your rosary and/or take your pill. Manasa Membership Drive in the Marvin Hall Auditorium Foyer. Moving right or left and along... At 9:00 PM the Manush Mixer takes place in the Intramural Gym. Pagan Rites such as cheek to cheek dancing and the Lindy will be performed.

SATURDAY, 2 OCTOBER: Biology and Conservation 9:00 AM-2:00 PM subject: Raising pot for fun and profit $5 Room 157. Clare Hall Fall Frolic all afternoon down by the lake--Sisterhood is powerful!!

SUNDAY, 3 OCTOBER: Nothing at all (depending on where your values lie)

MONDAY, 4 OCTOBER: Manasa Meeting 4:30 PM Library Auditorium. It's a good cause, let it be.

TUESDAY, 5 OCTOBER: (WHOPPS!!!) Biology and Conservation Club Meeting 12:30 PM Room 157. Special Meeting of Faculty 12:30 PM Room 251 (No faculties required). AAUP Meeting 3:30 PM Room 207.

WEDNESDAY, 6 OCTOBER: Breakfast Meeting: Nat'l Council of Christians and Jews. 8:00-10:00 AM Faculty Dining Room; Bernadette Devlin on the value of religious tolerance or "Guess Who The Father Is?" WAA Volleyball 8:00-10:00 PM Intercollegiate Gym. Guardian Angel Guild Speaker: Brother Gabriel Moran. 8:00 PM MH Auditorium Following Speaker a private reception Admission charged $1.50 Student, $2.50 Adult. Remember the Vow of Poverty????

THURSDAY, 7 OCTOBER: 12:30 PM Meetings of Division of Natural Sciences Room 314; Humanities Division Room 206; and Social Sciences Division Room 313. (Divide and Conquer) Convocation "To Be Young, Gifted & Black" 2:00-4:30 PM MH Auditorium--EXCELLENT. Legal Profession Discussion 4:00 PM Room 200. How 'bout some ILLEGAL Discussion????

EDITORIAL:

This letter is in regard to the bizarre housing policy that now exists at Marian. As you are probably aware by now, Student Services has begun their gendopatic tactics early this year. Letters seem to be all over the city with the Dean's name scrawled across the bottom. How does one go about receiving such a collector's item? Move off campus illegally.

In a taped interview with Dean Brames at 8:30 Thursday morning, the housing policy was discussed. As usual, what it amounted to was "economics".

The question was posed to the Dean concerning the dorm enrollment. He said that a dorm only partially filled is a determining factor in who shall be allowed to live off campus. However, it is not a main factor. What is?

He was very informative in the interview. He said that the reason for the high age limit of 22 for moving off campus legally is to insure full occupancy. He will soon have it because no one can move off.

What I am most concerned about is the way which the final decision is handled. If we are not satisfied with a negative decision,
Editorial (cont.) we can take it to the President. He in turn decides whether to uphold the decision or not. The President has done this without consulting the student involved. I know that in the case of Don Sartino and myself that we both tried to have the decision appealed. On the day of our interview, we were informed that the President had decided to uphold the decision (without seeing either of us). Is this an appeals system? If we are still not satisfied, we can ultimately go to the Board of Trustees. As the Dean pointed out, we don't want to take everyday, mundane problems to these busy men. I wonder how the mundane items such as the dress code and women's hours find their way to the Board.

It should be noted that Student Services does make exceptions. I am an exception, and I wonder to this day how it finally came about. Apartment living has been valuable to me whereas than the dorm ever was. I could elaborate on the money saved and the experiences gained, but why bother? Student Services is more qualified than actual experience.

In closing, I would like to commend Don Sartino on his principles (as of the negative decision given him he has withdrawn from school).

CW

ANOTHER EDITORIAL:

Dormitories were built to provide housing for students who had come from other towns and had no place to live near the college. In this, dormitories are a definite service, providing room and board for these students.

The Marian College Housing policy is stated:

"All single undergraduate students who do not return to their parents', guardians', or blood relatives' homes each evening are required to live in College housing. Students who have attained their 22nd birthday on or before September 30 may live in housing of their own choosing."

In an interview yesterday Dean Brames said, "Marian or any other institutions set Housing Policies which tend to help insure that there will be full occupancy in the residence halls." As far as I can see this is the major factor in the setting of Marian Housing Policy. The necessity of a full dormitory in order to be able to pay for it may be a valid justification for such a policy, but this is not stated in the handbook. Rather the policy is hidden behind a statement of the educational value of the dormitories.

"Marian college considers residence hall living to be an integral part of the educational development of students. The College views a resident's experience in group living as an opportunity for learning to live and work amicably with others of varying ages, cultural backgrounds and tastes."

However "learning to live and work amicably with others" often turns out to be a case of not learning to respect the rights of others, but rather to put up with the excesses of others. It is easy to see how after a few years the dormitories are no longer a service but a detriment to some students.

People who find it impossible to live...
FROM THE MASSES:

To: The Marian College Community
From: Editor of the Yearbook

The following is a personal letter to help clear up a number of the questions concerning the 1972 yearbook.

In past years the yearbook has not, in my opinion, adequately and effectively covered the events surrounding Marian College. Our hope for the 1972 yearbook is to publish a thorough and comprehensive annual.

But the Student Board has raised some problems and we need your help. We have been cut from the Student Budget due to the following reasons:

1) Mis-managed funds and repeated debt in former years.
2) Apathetic attitude on the part of the students for compulsory purchasing of the yearbook for each full time student (previously included in the Student Activity Fee).

Consequently:

One-third of the Student Budget formerly given to the "Marians" is now being channeled into various student body activities.

Therefore a fee of $6.25 is required if publication of the book is to be made possible. Total student support is needed and anyone wishing to help would be whole-hearted welcomed. The Yearbook subscription drive is October 8 to October 15.

Your comments and criticisms are welcome and needed, please feel free to contact me or a member of the staff.

Sincerely yours,

Judy Kelly

To the editors of the Carbon,

In recent conversation, I was made aware of the difficulties imposed upon students that belong to the Work Study Program. It appears that they have been mislead by the Financial Aid Office at Marian College. Last Spring these students filled out the Student Application For Federally Insured Loan's (Form OE 115A 5-67). On this form was the amount they were 'told' would be made available to them on work study. Shortly before the academic year 1971-72 this agreement (signed by Miss Jeffers) was made void by the Financial Aid Office. I was previously under the assumption that an agreement between two people, which was signed, could be termed, a contract. A contract is binding unless both parties agree to cancel the terms within. Now what student would make such a blunder as to cancel this agreement? Does this mean that this institution of higher learning breaks contracts? (Absurd, signed agreements are never varied, i.e. Housing Policy). In light of the fact that students must be so explicit in their dealings with this administration, I feel we should be extended "similar courtesy".

I am not fully aware as to what legal ground this could be pursued, but if I were in such a position, I would exhaust all possibilities (due to the explicit details laid down for Protest on Campus, this would have to be a peaceful search).

In future dealings, the director of the (cont. next column)

of the Financial Aid Office should make it clear to these students the chance that the funds might not be available. This will cushion the blow that some naive people have about the worth of one's signature.

Barry Sullivan

To the editors,

In the midst of the general furor over the right of students to live off campus if they are under 22, I would like to take a position concerning an exception to this rule. The exception is if a student has served two years in the armed forces he (she) is deemed mature enough to live in a socially integrated situation.

This exception has to be absurd. Certainly the person who has served two years in the service has lived under enforced social dictums. Of course the person has been subjected to "righteous" community living. In either case the mode of living was enforced under penalty of imprisonment. In most cases, from personal observation, this forced style of living was scarcely tolerable.

The obvious reaction to the military dominance was to act in a manner that could hardly be deemed socially acceptable to the civilian community.

Now we have to assume that a young adult who has lived in the college community for two years in what could probably be called a harmonious communal existence is too immature to share an apartment with a close friend or friends? Young adults all over the country are being given more responsibility in areas of government and vocational position. I don't think one would have much trouble finding many examples of 20 year old adults living in a perfectly acceptable manner without the benefit of 2 years of collegiate matriculation.

In conclusion, I would appreciate knowing just exactly why adults of the age of 20 should still be coddled at the breast of "mother college".

Chris French

IMPORTANT
Editor's Note:

As Carbon editors and also representatives of the publication's Board, we can no longer accept responsibility of the irresponsible. In the future, this publication will print no information or service announcements for anyone unless their name is attached to the item. For example, in the past Social Council items were inaccurate and publications took the responsibility for the items. In the future, items will be printed only with a name attached to accept this responsibility.

Out of mutual respect for truth, publications, and each other as editors, we can not let ourselves become judges and not editors. Out of this mutual respect, we feel this responsibility can no longer be ours. We are adopting this new policy.

CW, EMc
I didn’t know quite what to anticipate from the meeting Wednesday night. I figured there wouldn’t be many women there; some don’t know their own oppression, others just couldn’t catch the time and place. We’d been razzed about the meeting itself a number of times, mostly by men who, feeling a diminishing acceptance of their own influence, felt they should be allowed to attend. Those of us who were there, including 5 women from Indianapolis Women’s Liberation, had a very effective if not dynamic consciousness-raising. We didn’t follow an agenda, we didn’t set down laws, we didn’t elect officers. These things alienate people, set them up in roles not to be deterred from. We didn’t develop any impressive plans of action. We began to come to know ourselves and each other in sharing our persons and experiences as females. We didn’t sit around and chat. We examined our separate and common situations. When it was over I was elated to know that I wasn’t alone, that I had sisters, that we can be powerful. When we get together again (and it’ll be well-publicized) I would hope to see some new people, even oppressed. Yes Linda Kolb, even you. And we have so much to offer each other. Yours in Sisterhood

PLANNED PARENTHOOD
There are 2.5 million children under 18 who are adopted, and over 50,000 children need homes. The PPA recognizes the problem and wishes to offer assistance—to anyone, married or single. Call 634-8019, or go to their offices at 615 N. Alabama Street, Room 331. Free examinations at the clinic at the following times:

- **MONDAY** — 9 am to 10 am
  5:30 pm to 7:30 pm
- **WEDNESDAY** — 10:30 am to 11:30 am
  5:30 pm to 7:30 pm
- **THURSDAY** — 12 pm to 1 pm
  5:30 pm to 7:30 pm
- **SATURDAY** — 10:30 am to 11:30 am

"Our goal is a union of the poor dedicated to world peace and serving the needs of all men who suffer." —Chavez

The next time you shop, ask your grocer, "Would you check the brand label on your grapes? Is that a Union Label grape product?" Then report to us the brand. Our number is 926-8756. The United Farm Workers Organizing Committee is located at 3039 North Delaware Street.

---

**Operation Upbeat**

**The Magnificent Experience**

featuring "The Magnificent"

Friday — October 8th

admission: 50¢

Support Upbeat!!
Cross Country:
Harriman's hurrying Harriers answered the queries of their critics by swamping Huntington on Tuesday by a score of 19-17. Dan Puckett finished second, Mike Hillso, third, Tom McIlen, fourth, and Dean Morgan a distant eight. This was an important victory, because it proved that the local lads could win without some of their talented cronies, including Steve "Rabbit Roy" Buffington. The next meet is Tuesday at home. Support the squad.

Intro to Intamural Football
This past week saw us pick three of four winners, so Ed, the hippie, is letting us write again. The first game saw the Gods of Hellfire nose by a crippled Intamural Football team 27-0. The score was deceptive because it failed to show the physical beating the Gods incurred. The second game witnessed War battle the Nads in a 21-19 victory. According to Randy Staley and Dick Bueglar, Randy Staley and Dick Bueglar were responsible for War's win. In the next game the Wild Bunch destroyed the Third Floor Hot Nuts 40-0. This shows the Bunch's defense can hold even without the services of former great defensive and Mike Hottram. The Vice Lords powered over the NOUGOS 20-0 on the arm of stubby Jay Farrell. However, this past week in practice John Purcell may have developed "Marban knees" and is a doubtful starter.

This week at twelve the IMFT will be beaten by the Yuck Fous on Team Photo Day. We realize this is a controversial choice, but if Howard can do it, we can. The Gods will beat the Nads on the receiving of Larry Unser if Pete Klooternear learns to hike by then. At two the Wild Bunch will play with the Mother Truckers II (Cellar Bowl losers). If you want a good laugh see this one. The final game will see Calvin Mitchell lead the NOUGOS pass the Third Floor Hot Nuts...maybe. So sports fans, until next week this is the old left hander rounding third and heading for home...the 13th Green.

"Hands" Hammerle
WALT'S WRESTLING WORLD

Last Saturday night, September 25, 1971, was the result of wild action in the sport of professional wrestling at the Coliseum. Here are the results of the matches:
Tom "Mr. Indiana" Lynch and Kenny Dillinger fought to a furious draw. By the way, you should have seen Mamma Dillinger—what a real sexual knockout! (Editor's note: By the way, speaking of sexual knockouts, you should have seen Walt!)

Ricky Cortey defeated Johnny "Killer" Rabbit Roy

"The Professor", to the surprise of the fans, defeated the experienced veteran Angelo "Neckbreaker" Poffo in a very fast and skillful match.

Yukon Moose Cholak and Doctor "Big" Bill Miller battled to a draw in a savage and hard fighting battle.

Paul "Golden Boy" Christy and Wilbur Snider defeated "Black Jack" Mulligan and Count Baron von Raschke in a spectacular first fall and won by a disqualification in the second fall when Mulligan was penalized for punching Christy in the face. As a result, Christy and Snyder retained the World Heavyweight Tag-Team Championship.

In the spectacular twelve-man Battle Royal, "The Professor" emerged as the victor and winner of the $10,000 prize. Incidentally, this wrestler is really a college professor who teaches in the Midwest, but he refuses to say where and to give his identity.

The next card at the Coliseum is on Thursday night October 14, and I think that Dick the Bruiser and Baron von Raschke will lock horns. I will try to have some more on this next week.

Yours in wrestling, Walt

Carbon Applauds
- Our new typist, Suzie
- Our old typist
- Don Sartino's courage
- Carbon Applauds Gordon Reeves—once.
- Are proof reader (Mr. DiveTa)
- Jane's pigtails
- New yearbook policy (right on, Judy)

Carbon Hisses
- Hippie freaks in the Waffle House before 11:30 (In reply to last weeks' Hiss: Jocks in the WH after 11:30).—Sensitive Jocks
- Sensitive Freaks
- Steve Hammerle for making this mess
- Social Council Big Mouths
- Closed-Open events?
- Ed's Refinement

HUMOR IS EMOTIONAL CHAOS REMEMBERED IN TRANQUILITY.