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Friday, 27 Feb: Tonight at 8:00 p.m., you can drool (preferably if you're a female) over my favorite Welshman, (apologies to Tom Jones) Richard Burton. Doyle Hall presents the film Dr. Faustus, also starring Elizabeth Taylor, in the MH Aud. for only $.90. Richard and I have three things in common, Welsh ancestry, green eyes, and loads of sex appeal. Who said anything about modesty? Also this week-end the Morris Street Players present Albee's new play Everything in the Garden for a very reasonable admission.

Saturday, 28 Feb: Today we salute the courageous, amicable, swashbuckling, handsome, ribald, fun-loving veterans at Marian who fill the atmosphere with laughter, jokes, hilarious impersonations of former drill instructors and memories of the wild night life in Caribor, Maine. Hail, veterans. Keep up those philosophic investigations at the Red Carpet, the Georgetown and Lums. You need it.

Sunday, 1 March: It's ACS-SA Alumni Science Day from 2:00 to 4:00 p.m., in Room 251. You're not if you miss the phabulous, (oh as in Phoenix) spectacular, awesome MC Review at 8:00 p.m. in the MH Aud. This extravaganza stars renowned talent such as Gayle Steigerwald, wild man Gene Bourke and Denny Deber. The notorious Mr. Jack O'Hara directs this one, so you can imagine what's lined up. Be thou there!

Monday, 2 March: Today at 12:30 is the AAUP Chapter Meeting and panel discussion on "What is professionalism?" with Fr. Head, Sr. Stephanie and Miss Malatesta. All faculty members are invited. Women Sports descend upon Gym at 7:00 p.m. for fun and games. Mia Farrow is Sophia Loren after taxes.

Tuesday, 3 March: The Library Committee meets at 11:30 a.m. in Room 315. Also, from 11:30 to 1:30 is the Academic Freedom Symposium in the MH Aud. There's a freshman class meeting in the SAC Aud. at 12:30PM.

Wednesday, 4 March: Women's Sports with Gym at 7:00 p.m. Tonight is the lecture series on Black Culture at 8:15 p.m., in Room 251. The speaker is Charles Haines, Art Director at WLIU-TV, whose topic is "Black Art."

Thursday, 5 March: At 12:30 the Humanities Division meets in Room 315. At 7:30 p.m. is the MCAA Wig Fashions and Card Party in the gym lounge. Horatio: "Why are you crying in the beer?"

Fridayhouse: "Because something's ale-ing me."

Dear Editors:

As a senior at Marian College, I am suddenly faced with a serious problem--how am I expected to participate in a graduation ceremony on 31 May when it will be utterly meaningless for me? How can I rejoice upon receiving a degree from an institution which, in my opinion, has fallen quite short of its aim of producing graduates who have "inquiring, honest minds ... high moral standards." Certain members of our Marian community don't seem to be aiming for the same goal, and being in the positions that they hold, they should exemplify the Marian spirit to the fullest. Or perhaps have the aims of Marian College been redefined recently? I pose these questions hoping for some response from other members of the senior class.

A Very Disillusioned Senior
Setting Things Straight

Q: Will the number of dependents be considered for financial aid next year? Or will it not after a figure such as $6,000 or $9,000 net income?

A: I welcome the opportunity to explain some of the guidelines for the federal programs for next year. The phrasing of the question points up the need for clarification. Perhaps it would be well to begin with a distinction between financial aid and financial need. Financial aid represents the financial assistance which a student receives through the college Work-Study Program, or plans to attend. Such aid usually comes in the form of gift aid (scholarships, grants, any non-repayable award), loans, and part-time employment. Most financial aid is directly related to financial need, but not all. For example, some gift aid notable scholarships, may be awarded on the basis of academic achievement without necessarily relating to a student's financial need. But such scholarships are financial aid for they do provide financial assistance in meeting educational costs.

Financial need represents the gap between a family's financial resources and the cost of a particular college. There is a variable factor here, namely the college budget. In any objective analysis of a family's financial circumstances, such as the Parents' Confidential Statement used here at Marian, the amount of the parental contribution will remain the same regardless of the college selected, but the financial need will be greater or lesser depending on the costs of a particular institution.

When Marian College entered into the Educational Opportunity Program and the College Work-Study Program, we agreed to use an objective need analysis system and the PCS of the College Scholarship Service was selected. The PCS then and its analysis establishes a students' financial need at Marian, or more properly, it develops an expected family contribution which can be compared to the college budget to determine financial need.

In the EOG and Work-Study programs the federal government establishes the guidelines and the College must observe these regulations in awarding these funds. Both of these programs are tied to financial need, but financial need among low-income families.

The EOG Program has two sections--initial year EOG awards and renewal year awards. Initial year EOG's are awarded to incoming freshman and new transfer students; renewal year EOG awards are directed to upperclassmen who received an EOG in a previous year. The Guidelines for both initial year and renewal year EOG have been identical through the current academic year. The chief criterion has been the expected parental contribution developed on the PCS. This figure was the result of an analysis which took into consideration such factors as annual family income, number of dependents, number of children in school, amount of indebtedness, intangible assets, student's savings, etc.

In this academic year, if the expected parental contribution was $625 or less, the student could be considered for an EOG. The amount of the EOG was determined by a scale provided in the EOG Manual. Since the maximum EOG is $1,000, the EOG award was the difference between $1,000 and the expected parental contribution (for example, Student A has a parental contribution, $400; EOG, $600; Student B has parental contribution, $150-EOG, $850). Another criterion for the EOG program is the restriction of the EOG to no more than 50% of the student's total need because the College must match the EOG dollar for dollar. To use the example above: Student A has a need of $1200; he receives an EOG of $600 and the College offers him part-time work in the amount of $600 as matching money for this EOG. These two sources of financial aid meets this students' need completely. Student B has a need of $2,000; he is eligible for an EOG of $850; he qualifies for a state scholarship (which is acceptable as matching aid for an Eog) of $800 and he is offered $350 in part-time employment. This student receives $2,000 in aid from three sources.

For the coming academic year 1970-71 the same guidelines hold for renewal year awards. This means that the eligibility for an EOG next year will be the same as this year for all returning students who have an EOG this year. The change in the EOG guidelines concern initial year awards and hence, this will not affect you, but your younger brothers and sisters entering the freshman class. In elaborating in this change, I shall quote extensively from the EOG Administrative Memorandum No. 1-70 which I received last fall:

"The purpose of the program (EOG) and of these criteria is to assist the most needy students. Therefore, the thrust of our criteria is toward those students from the lowest income levels and with the least contribution toward educational costs...One new criterion sets an income limit of $9,000, which defines more clearly the group of potential EOG recipients. All recipients must come under this ceiling, with continued emphasis on those under the $6,000 level."

Directions to the Financial Aid Office for awarding initial year EOG in 1970-71 are enumerated in steps:

Step 1. Eliminate applicants from families with gross annual incomes over $9,000. Family income above this maximum cannot be considered "low," even though financial need may be clearly demonstrated. They are, therefore, beyond the scope and purpose of the EOG program, even though they may be eligible for other forms of aid.

Step 2. Next eliminate those having an expected parental contribution, based upon an approved system of need analysis, of more than $625 per academic year. This is the same measure of eligibility employed in the past.

The next step (3) in the selection criteria is a subjective description of the type of student for whom the EOG program is intended. Six descriptions are listed in the Memorandum, but because this answer is already exceedingly long, I shall quote just two of the descriptives.
When the truth is found to be lies, you know the joy within you dies..."

Jefferson Airplane

Dear Mom and Dad,

When I first came to college you said my ideas would soon change. Well, you were right. I wish to apologize for my immaturity at this time. I was sitting in chapel one day and reality hit me like a thunderbolt. It was a great moment.

You know that Pedtke guy really ought to be rich. That way he could afford all his (damn) idealism. Because of him, my department chairman quit this week. They say a couple of other teachers will leave too if they fire Pedtke.

Some of these kids are really up tight about the whole thing too. It's too bad they don't understand. A college is just like a business, and business isn't based on justice but dollars and cents.

"Life is a series of compromises!", the good Doctor once said to me and he's right. He always was right and probably always will be. I mean, who's the college here for? Too bad I didn't see it before.

This Pedtke mixes with the Blacks and tries to stir them up. They'd be happy here if it wasn't for him. And he's always trying to defend a student that's a troublemaker or something. Sometimes, they say, he doesn't wear a tie and doesn't get along with his department chairman. Of course, they have a lot of trouble in that crazy department. They're all unprofessional and almost plain hippies. Sure, they're smart but they don't have to tell everyone, especially their boss.

But, anyway they gave Pedtke a probationary contract instead of tenure. Guess that'll teach him. Maybe he won't be so friendly with the students next year. But, they'll get him next year and all the other faculty like him. The good Doctor has it all under control.

Think he's even giving the Academic Dean the axe. Of course, she's been sick, too.

We have a real prince of an Administration. Speaking of princes, this sums up what I saw in chapel that day...

"Everyone realizes how praiseworthy it is for a prince to honor his word and to be straightforward rather than crafty in his dealings; nonetheless contemporary experience shows that princes who have achieved great things have been those who have given their word lightly, who have known how to trick men with their cunning, and who, in the end, have overcome those abiding by honest principles."

Machiavelli, The Prince

Well, have to go to Mass. Your Son

John Mahoney

"It's over now. Just exactly what was indicated to be unsatisfactory for Mr. Pedtke is the resolution of the Board of Trustees.

Dr. Guzzetta really had it all together and put it through.

A few students (I cite "common sense") were still grooping for the other side when the decision crashed upon the bulletin board. That thumbtack can only be compared to the Boston massacre, or it is the thumb tack heard around the world? I must commend our president as a shrewd and successful man at this time. He had many students misdirected by charges of unprofessionalism levied against Mr. Hargus and delayed and confused us with a "personal file" that remained unopened.

Students were confirmed in the trustworthy face of our president who convinced them that the personal file was all-convincing of Pedtke's incompetence and inability to work within the institution.

Students and Faculty who spoke out were labeled infidels, malcontents and just poor people incapable of faith in administrative authority.

Our vigil was said by some to be an act of sacrilege.

The decision is made now, made at a Board of Trustees meeting that was held early and in complete secrecy when we were told that an early meeting was just out of the question because Dr. Guzzetta said he had already tried for an earlier date. The personal file has been opened and if you care to see the contents ask for them. Do you know who to ask yet? Some faculty members are not Dr. Guzzetta yesterday at a faculty meeting - still no answer. Well that file will be published if you care to see it. Nothing new is contained within (Sentence of the year-go back and read it again! But don't believe-find out yourself - ask Mr. Pedtke).

Now many people, mostly Faculty, are of a mind to say everything has been settled fairly. All is well and back to the books, desks, and corners where you learn theory, doctrine and idea.

You're crazy if so because you have been screwed.

You're eager to get back to what was - I'm sorry - I think we have all lost. Those of you faculty members who were so uninvolved, so discreet are now not respected and surely have lost more than we. Your omission has pointed out your inability to be alive to act on and see in life the theory and doctrine you long for once more. You have missed the time and place to act. You blew it Sister, Mr., Mrs.,

This college hurts. It gets no better as Fr. Pat Smithe, Miss Murray, Mr. Hargus, Mr. Pedtke, Mr. White, etc. walk out. No matter how long it takes many will leave. Now and then what do we have? Hah, hah, hah. You have your "common sense" and a lot of faith in an institution that can only loosely be called a college.

(cont. p.5)
as examples: 1) "...one whose typical consumer goods and services. Income is inadequate before, not solely because of, the additional expense of college; 2) one whose environment has not allowed concrete plans for higher education to be formed because of lack of money, family encouragement, proper counseling, or any of several other deterrents."

The fourth step in the selection of Initial Year EOG recipients in 1970-71 is the directive to award an EOG "by proceeding from the most needy to the least needy until funds are exhausted. (The same Memorandum advises the College the 70% of the Initial year EOG money should be awarded to students whose family income is $6000 or less, with only 30% of the funds being awarded to students whose family income ranges between $6000 and $9000.)"

The memorandum refers to renewal year awards by stating: "Students receiving grants prior to July 1, 1970, will continue to be eligible for renewal grants, provided the expected parental contribution (derived from the analysis of the PCS) remains $625 or less per academic year and good standing is maintained according to the academic standards of the institution...the $9000 income ceiling set in this Memorandum will not apply to such renewal grants."

I hope that the above elaboration of the EOG guidelines is helpful in clearing up any confusion, misunderstandings, or rumors regarding the administration of the EOG program next year.

P. Jeffers
February 19, 1970

Q: Why has the telephone been taken out of the Information Office?

A: The phone in the Information Office was misused, as was the Information Office itself. The phone was used for other than emergency calls and persons under the pretext of making a phone call took items from the Information Office, including money from the switchboard operator's desk. This misuse, along with the fact that there were numerous public phones on campus for the convenience of students, made the phone in the Information Office an unnecessary problem which could best be solved by removing it.

L.W. Wagner, Controller

A NEW SLUM PROJECT?
Did anyone happen to visit our lovely TV room Sunday afternoon? It was real nice looking, huh? It seemed to have the appearance of a beer hall, and the smell wasn't far from that either. Was there some sort of attempt to build a new monument by certain arty people - because four eight packs left a lot of empty bottles. Maybe you were trying to build a new pool. There seemed to be enough beer spilled to fill one. Oh! You know what that funny little stand is, with the metal container on top? That is an ash tray. Why don't you acquit yourself with one. It seems the term 'pigs' should not be applied to only the men in blue. Why don't you rough it and go to the 13th. I'm sure it would be appreciated by those who are trying to revamp the Perc. B. Sullivan

SPORTS
SWIMMING:
Due to the new locks placed on the doors leading to the inside pool, the pool was closed for several days. The pool opened again Tuesday afternoon and will remain open until the outside pool is ready to be used. There has been a change in the hours for swimming due to class schedules of the life guards. The new schedule is as follows:

Sunday 2-4:7-9 Schroeder: Henmelgarn
Monday 7-9 Henmelgarn
Tuesday 2-4:7-9 Wanninger: Wanninger
Wednesday 7-9 Schroeder
Thursday 2:30-4:30:7-9 Henmelgarn: Schroeder
Friday 4:30-5:30:7-9 Sisters
Saturday 2-4 Wanninger

INTRAMURAL BASKETBALL
This past Sunday marked the closing of another exciting intramural basketball season. The UBI team, lead by Bill Smith and Butch Washington, defeated the Gods of Hellfire, paced by Tom Babione and Joe Hoog, by a 70-61 score. Both teams played hard and determined to win causing 21 fouls to be called on UBI while 16 fouls were called on the Gods of Hellfire. There were no incidents throughout the game between the players but one technical foul was called when one of the players displayed his thoughts and emotions to the referee. With rebounding being fairly equal throughout the game and both offensive machines going strong, the only possible cause for victory or defeat would be errors. This definitely was the case last Sunday causing the margin of victory. The UBI came up with 8 errors while the Gods of Hellfire came up with 15.

UBI    70
B. Smith  1  18
B. Washington  2  21
B. Walker  5  8
R. Galither  1  11
T. Smith  5  10
R. Lyons  4  2

(Continued p.5)
Letters continued

according to his service to his fellow man (ask UBI, Upbeat, and any socially aware student or faculty or administrator) if he is not to be judged according to these things by what standard do we make an appraisal of him?

To answer this question I would like to engage in the recently most popularized sport on Marian campus, that of Speculation. What if?

What if we projected ourselves 20 years in the future and listened in on a conversation between the president of a small college situated in the heart of large midwestern city and a reporter from the local newspaper?

News Reporter: Yes sir, this is a right nice little school you have here, Dr.

College President: Thank you. We have worked hard at keeping it going. There was a time when we weren't so sure it would last.

N.R.: Oh, when was that?

C.P.: About 20 years ago.

C.R.: I don't recall.

C.P.: It was all kept very quiet. Some of our more militant faculty had to be purged in order that we received enough support from the community to keep going. It was truly a do or die situation. The only intelligent thing of course was to do what we did to save our school.

N.R.: Of course. Now about your new program?

C.P.: I mean, really, the important thing was to keep the school going, you have to be practical.

N.R.: Yes, yes, I agree. Now about ...

C.P.: If wasn't as if we enjoyed doing it, it just had to be done to keep the school alive, you know.

N.R.: (Impatiently) I know, I know. Could we discuss something more important?

C.P.: (Apologetically) I'm sorry, but of course. (President stares out the window apparently in deep thought. After a few moments of unbearable silence, he turns to N.R. and shouts) It was the only way to save the school! We were practically bankrupt! We simply could not exist without money from the community!

N.R.: (Startled at first, then, calmer) Please Dr. control yourself. (hands him a glass of water)

C.P.: I'm terribly sorry, Fund raising has its effects on one. The one consoling factor I have is the knowledge that the college is still here. That bears witness to my judgment.

N.R.: But of course. Now about that new course in woodworking you're offering.

C.P.: Yes, that course completes the transition to a completely industrial arts school that being completed, we are now eligible for our 20 million a year grant from the Department of Entrepreneurs and Farmers (DEAF).

N.R.: Thank you, Dr.

C.P.: You are quite welcome. Let your readers know that in keeping with tradition, our pur pose is to provide the community with leadership and scholarship and will be a glowing reflection of the ideal to which everyone in the community can strive for, blah blah blah ... .

Fiction? Of course. But remember there are other ways for schools to live besides closing their doors.

(continued)