CALENDAR OF EVENTS

Monday, 11/2 - Nurse’s Day on campus from 9am to noon in Marian Hall Lobby

Tuesday, 11/3 - “The Indian wants the Bronx” at 12:30pm and 8pm in Peine Arena.

Thursday, 11/6 - ACS - SA meeting at Noon in room 355
Guest speaker is Ms. Crystal White.

Day Student Association meeting at 1pm in room 306
ADN meeting at 12:30pm in room 251
Volleyball game at Indiana Central at 5pm.

GREETINGS!

You almost have to be a strict recluse in order not to be aware that Tuesday is the Presidential election. The campaign has been commercialized to where it cannot be ignored or forgotten in day to day living. We may have received a few hints of ambiguous policies from what we have heard, but it has been neglected by the headlines in favor of the personal attacks and the public and private scandals. So, we thought we would try to present a competent and unbiased form of the issues as seen by each candidate. Well, we tried.

It is far from being a complete representation, and because some material was more informative than others it is unintentionally biased. Our sources of information include periodicals we had at hand, party platforms, and various pieces of propaganda sent to us by campaign committees upon request. Rarely, within the material, were explanations given for why the candidate was for this and against that, nor was there an indication of how the policies were to be implemented once elected [or re-elected].

So what we have put together follows. It is not in the greatest of literary styles, but rather is simplified to a stand on the issues [more information is given in cases where more information was available]. Again, rarely is there an explanation or reason, but we trust that you have a knowledge of whether it corresponds or conflicts with your present value system. We hope it assists in your decision.

Vote,

Meg and Diana

Idealism is the noble toga that political gentlemen drape over their will to power.

—Aldous Huxley—

ENERGY

Carter: Provide government subsidized loans, direct financial assistance and other incentives to make all residents energy efficient through upgraded insulation, heating, cooling and water heating. “Special incentives” for the use of renewable energy resources such as solar energy systems.

Views coal as greatest energy resource, but maintains federal regulations for clean coal conversion to protect air quality and public health.

Conservation is stressed.

“Oil companies must be invested with public interest...” supports strengthened leasing regulations, reporting requirements and monitoring by the Departments of Energy and Justice.” Compliance with energy price laws and regulations must be continued, and tough penalties imposed on the companies that do not.

Nuclear energy plants will not be licensed unless judged perfectly safe by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Through renewable energy sources, energy efficiency and as alternative fuels become available in the future, nuclear power plants will be retired in an orderly manner.

Federal financial assistance for the construction and operation of mass transit systems. This will be funded by the Windfall profits tax that he implemented.

Reagan: Believes conservation can only help short-term. To boost domestic energy supplies, it is necessary to eliminate energy price controls, allocation formulas and other existing restrictions “which do little to either hold down prices or alleviate shortages. Instead these controls only inhibit domestic production and encourage foreign imports.”

Supports new sources of energy. “We can’t afford to place reliance on unproven methods... though they may be a significant contribution to our energy supplies for the future.” No plans for funding research programs in our sources.

Favors the accelerated use of nuclear energy through technologies that are efficient and safe.

No source mentions coal.

Wants to eliminate Department of Energy.

Anderson: Deregulation of price controls and allocation of crude oil and natural gas.

Proposes a 50 cent gasoline tax to force reduction of fuel consumption.

Supports Windfall Profits Tax. Revenues should be used for mass transit, low income fuel assistance, and research and development of alternative energy sources.

Promotes the safety of nuclear power plants, but construction of new plants “should not be stopped prematurely.”

ECONOMY

Carter: Tax reductions to benefit lower and middle classes with incomes of up to $29,700.

Establishment of new tax depreciation rules, a tax code to assist business planning, removal of governmental regulations which stifle business initiatives, strengthened worker training programs to improve job opportunities and working skills.

[CONTINUED]
ECONOMY [CONTINUED]

Carter (continued): A balance of Federal regulations that protect the worker, business growth and competitiveness. Federal spending restraints must not interfere with the social programs which aid and assist families that are unemployed or partially employed. Fiscal policy must remain a flexible economic tool.

Reagan: 10% reduction of individual tax rates in each of 3 years. Theorizes this would stimulate enough economic growth that the tax revenues would allow an increase in defense spending and a balanced budget.

Wants to abolish minimum wage laws citing it as a major cause of inflation and unemployment.

Supports significant cuts in Federal spending (has not outlined specifics, but has said will eliminate the Department of Energy and Education and reform welfare and food stamp programs).

Index tax cuts to inflation rate so workers would not be pushed into higher tax brackets.

Anderson: Favors limited tax cuts, but rejects extensive tax cuts claiming they would feed inflation.

Future tax cuts should emphasize business investments and individual savings accounts.

Use of tax revenues from tobacco and alcohol products for urban investment and mass transit programs to aid cities.

SOCIAL PROGRAMS/HUMAN RIGHTS

Carter: National Health Insurance program for complete coverage in case of catastrophic illness. Comprehensive coverage in regard to preventive, diagnostic, therapeutic and maintenance medicine. Joint financial funding by the government, employer and employee.

Serious reform of welfare program is recognized as necessary. Closer regulation of state responsibilities in welfare assistance. Intensive training, personnel counseling and help in job search.

Remain committed to full funding of food stamp program for those who cannot be self-supporting.

Deny assistance to governments that violate human rights, except for that aid that is clearly humanitarian. Recognizes the exception for assistance that is required for “over-riding security purposes, but the exception should not be used as an excuse for ignoring abuses of human rights.”

Supports ratification of the Equal Rights Amendment. Urges the boycott of unratified states by not holding national meetings, conferences, or conventions in those states.

Believes abortion is wrong and should be federally funded only if mother’s life is in danger or if pregnancy is result of rape or incest.

Created Department of Education.

Reagan: Opposes National Health Insurance because it would reduce the quality of treatment.

Reduce both the welfare and food stamp programs to the state level and tighten qualifications for applying.

“Decisions to provide military assistance should be made on the basis of U.S. foreign policy objectives. Assistance to any nation need not imply complete approval of a regimes domestic policy.”

[Continued next column]

SOCIAL PROGRAMS/HUMAN RIGHTS [CONTINUED]

Reagan (continued): Opposes Equal Rights Amendment stating it “could do more harm than good.” Supports equal rights for women.

Supports Constitutional Amendment to protect unborn child’s right to life. Opposes using Federal money for abortions whether mother’s life is in danger or not.

Abolish Department of Education and end unnecessary Federal intervention in education. Return authority to state and local school boards.

Anderson: Supports Federally regulated National Health Insurance while preserving individual choice in selecting medical services.


Strong support of Equal Rights Amendment. Voted to extend ratification deadline.

Against abortion, but defends women’s rights to make the choice “in conjunction with her God and her physician.”

Against amendment to bar abortions. Supports Federal payment for low income women.

Supports Department of Education.

DEFENSE

Carter: Increase defense spending by 5% a year ($24 billion next year).

Cancelled B-1 bomber for cruise missiles. Delayed production of neutron bomb.

Negotiated SALT II [Strategic Arms Limitations Treaty] with Soviet Union to eliminate threat of nuclear war.

Initiated draft registration, but opposes peace time draft.

Reagan: Criticizes Carter for small defense spending, not enough (no statistics available in sources).

Supports B-1 bomber, neutron bomb, MX cruise missiles, Trident, stronger navy, more land-based missiles.

Opposes SALT II for Carter’s “compromising”. Wants new arms talks with the Soviet Union.

Against both draft registration and peace time draft.

Anderson: Increase defense to level of competency (no statistics available in sources).

Terms the MX missile and B-1 bomber as “wasteful”. Opposes unnecessary and excessive defense weapons.

Supports SALT II but believes it to be a process that must be continued.

Against both registration and peace time draft.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

VOTE!!!

For Election Day, students will be providing transportation to and from the voting polls from 7am until evening. If you are registered to vote in the Marian College area, contact Bob Freese or sign up outside the Student Board Office (across from the caf) for a ride.

Any drivers who would kindly volunteer their services (if only for one trip), also talk to Bob.

VOTE!!!
STUDENT BOARD MINUTES

The meeting was called to order at 9:05 pm. Members absent: Anne Hammond, Vickie Dietering, Thom Knox, and Mr. Elmondor. Also present were Sr. Ruth Forthoffer, Greg Smith, Diane Pitzer and Mary Jane Schneider. The minutes of the Oct. 21st meeting were read and approved.

Treasurer's Report: $1994.52

Social Planning: The Halloween movies will be held in Clare Hall Basement in the lounge by the Nurse's Office. The movies will start at 9 pm and end sometime in the middle of the night. We will serve pizza, pop, popcorn and other munchies. The movies to be shown will be: The Innocents", "The Other", "Mephisto Waltz", and "Village of the Damned".

Academic Affairs: Meeting was held last Tuesday. Nominations for "Who's Who" must be in by October 31st. They haven't decided on the courses to be posted on Death and Dying and Human Sexuality.

Student Affairs: Absent.

Senior Class: Sadie Hawkins Dance will be Nov. 1st. Dave Duggan will be the D.J. and the dance will be held in the Clare Hall Lounge.

Junior Class: They are still planning their Homecoming activity.

Sophomore Class: They will be having a meeting on Tuesday, October 29th. The theme for Sweethearts will be decided.

Freshmen Class: Absent.

Clare Hall Board: Hall decorating contest is now going on. Judging will be held on Friday and the winning hallway will get cinema passes and food discounts.

Doyle Hall Council: The Euchre Tourny was cancelled.

Old Business: 1) Stereo cabinet is still in the planning stage. 2) We discussed the use of videotape player but the discussion was tabled. 3) We accepted the motion to buy a ¾ page ad in the Knights Basketball Program by a vote of 9 yes and 1 no.

New Business: 1) We will be contacting Butler about visitation info that they have. 2) Booster Club asked for more money to supplement their Homecoming budget which was figured wrong. The Board voted to loan Booster Club a maximum of $1000 if they needed it. 3) Sr. Ruth asked for funding for the Day Student Assoc. We agreed that if the DSA should come to us with specific funding needs, we will consider them.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:42 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mary Holste

PHOTOGRAPHY CLUB

The first set of 5 trial pictures (prints or slides) for the photographic exhibit should be handed in at the Psychology Department to Dr. Appleby or to Tuan Phung (350) by Monday the 3rd of November.

TO THE MARIAN COMMUNITY

I am sure that all of you are tired of all of the political ads on the radio and TV. These are all ads that do nothing for the sponsor except cut down the other man. It is these ads that have moved me to write this letter.

The Constitution of the United States gives each U.S. citizen the right for the person he or she feels will be the most capable of meeting the needs of the people. The voter should know as much as possible about the candidates, and what they stand for.

The campaign ads this year have said very little about the issues at hand. The only thing that these ads prove is which candidate can cut down the other man the best. But I ask you, what does this say about the men behind the ad? To me, this type of ad says two things. First, it says the man does not know or even care about the issues. Some might also conclude that the man is weak in the issues.

The second thing this says is that the man has no respect for the other candidate. This shows a lack of honesty because the man says nothing about the issues that will be dealt with when the election is over.

To conclude this letter I would like to challenge those of you who are going to vote on Tuesday. Before you vote I challenge you to ask this question. Am I voting for the man who meets my needs, or the man who cuts people down the best?

Ed Jefferson

ATTENTION

For your own protection and the improved safety of Clare Hall, the security personnel employed by Marian College have been given permission to walk all halls of 1st, 2nd and 3rd floors of Clare during the early morning hours on weekends and all weeknights as well. I felt you should be aware of this change in procedure and remember to be fully covered if leaving your room for any reason during the night.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Debbie Bhagwanndin
Residence Director

OPINION II (cont'd)

fuel supply at dramatically lower human and environmental risk than existing fission technology.

Focusing on Foreign Policy—Anderson maintains that American prestige, influence and power abroad are direct functions of our domestic strength. On the subject of military spending—Anderson is an advocate of strong armed forces, but he believes that America's best defense is a military that is lean and flexible. Accordingly he has proposed such schemes as the B-1 bomber and the MX missile which he feel will increase American military costs without adding to her security. He knows that spending billions on defense while inflating our currency and neglecting steps to increase the productivity, innovativeness and competitiveness of the American economy will not make the United States strong in the long run but weaken her more and more.

Support the National Unity Campaign—is John Anderson's plea, a strong, stable America is his goal.

—Neel
After much thought and debate, we have decided to
exercise the newspaper tradition of endorsing a presiden-
tial candidate. We feel our choice to be obvious, simply
through a process of elimination.
Aside from his inconsistencies, though some of his pre-
sent ideas are sound, we realize that a vote for John Ande-
son would represent nothing more than a protest in the
final results.
Our objections to Reagan are numerous. He has stated
and restated his intentions to drastically reduce federal
spending. He has not specifically said what departments
and programs his plans would cut, but there is a fear that
all would be significantly effected. His plans to abolish
the Departments of Energy and Education are destructive
enough in the long-range consequences to both present
and future consumers and students. Through the dereg-
ulation of the oil companies, he would be removing the
incentives and the funding for alternative energy research
[Reagan has no plans for research and development in
our sources of information]. Not only do we fear for the
quality of education in the hands of state and local gov-
ernments[whether federal funding is provided or not], we
are also aware that the area most susceptible to budget
decrees would be that of student loans and grants.
Perhaps the most shocking proposal is Reagan's wishes
to eliminate the minimum wage laws. Calling the laws
a "major cause of inflation and unemployment," his dis-
continuance would be benefiting the small and big busi-
nessmen. This is not necessarily bad, unless it is at the ex-
 pense of those for whom the law was created. Minimum
wage guarantees the working man an above-poverty level
income which allows him[in most cases] to live and eat
at the same time. Reagan's proposals would perhaps de-
crease unemployment, but it would consequently de-
crease the income of those lower and middle class work-
ers who can barely make ends meet with minimum wages.
Whatever rationale is given, Reagan opposes enforcing in-
ternational human rights, the initiation of National Health
Insurance, the continuance of the present welfare and food
stamp systems, and the ratification of the E.R.A.
He is anti-abortion[but one cannot overlook his probable
motive, i.e., he opposes federal funding of any abortion re-
gardless of whether mother's life is endangered].
In instances of international tension[recently, the transi-
tion in Zimbabwe/Rhodesia, Soviet invasion of Afghanistan,
the hostages in Iran], Reagan has promoted American mili-
tary intervention. His defense policies point to taking ev-
ery opportunity in proving U.S. military prowess. Allow us
to state how dangerous this man is if he believes that a minor
display of military force is going to induce any country to
back down[especially the Soviet Union, whom he claims is
defensively superior to the U.S.].
In view of his decreasing the social programs[which aid the
poor and middle class alike], in favor of increasing already
excessive defense systems[which he seems ready and willing
to use], we cannot support Reagan and maintain that we
have any social[or Christian] conscience at all.
Therefore, the winner of our endorsement is Jimmy Car-
ter.
Carter created the Department of Education, supports
the National Health Insurance program, enforces human
rights, is in favor of the Equal Rights Amendment, wants
continued federal regulation of welfare and food stamp
systems, negotiated SALT II, negotiated Israeli/Egyptian
[CONTINUED]
peace treaty, supports alternative energy research and develop-
ments, opposes the Windfall Profits Tax.
He is personally against abortion, but recognizes the Su-
preme Court's decision as law. He opposes federal funding
for abortions with the exceptions of the endangerment of
the mother's life, rape, and incest.
Carter has experience. He has a sense of obligation to the
needs of all citizens and has learned the meaning and ad-
vantages of 'restraint.'
We applaud his conscience and pray for his success in Tues-
day's election.
Consciously Yours,

[In case of Reagan victory, we are relocating to Canada.
Sign up sheets outside Carbon Office].

[CONTINUED]

Above the platforms and promises beamed and blared to
the public by the two popular parties, comes a man inde-
pendently spearheading a National Unity campaign join-
ing in the arena with the giants and throwing a threatening
challenge.
John Anderson, a fiftyish, silver-haired determined Con-
gressman from Rockford, Illinois, does not parade with
promises. He has suggested substantial solutions and him-
self is determined to be dedicated to his dictum. He is of the
opinion that National Unity is a prerequisite for interna-
tional stability. A stable government, he believes, is the only
answer for national security.
The main concern of the American people today are In-
flation, Energy, and Foreign Policy. Mr. Anderson starts
out on Inflation with a three-pronged attack. 1) A balanced
budget for fiscal year 1981 with specific budget cuts and
revenue adjustments that would achieve this goal. Although
such recommendations would require an element of sacrifice,
no sector of society would be asked to bear a disproportionate
share of the burden. 2) Anderson favors the indexing of
personal income tax rates; moving toward a $750 dividend
and interest income tax exemption for singles($1500 tax
exemption if married and filing jointly) and scheduled re-
duction of two percentage points in corporate tax rates by
1986. 3) Anderson knows that fiscal austerity is only half
the battle. Equal emphasis must be given to boosting Am-
erica's productivity. To this end, Anderson has introduced
legislation to reduce the tax on savings account interest. To
promote capital investment, Anderson supports legislation
to reform and simplify tax depreciation allowances and to
provide new tax incentives, including a 10% tax credit for research and development. He has also proposed a new
federal manpower policy aimed at upgrading labor market
skills.
Another monster engulfing our economy is energy. For
the past several years, John Anderson has been a leading
spokesman on energy. He is willing to take tough po-
positions on hard issues. The 50/50 plan he suggests is:charge
50 cents a gallon on energy conservation tax on all motor fuels
to cut consumption and reduce our dependence on foreign
supplies. He realizes the need to minimize the burdens of
such an energy tax on those with special needs. So, under
his plan, revenues from such a tax would be used to reduce
employee social security taxes by 50%, increase social
security benefits and allow tax credits for businesses un-
fairly penalized.
Anderson encourages the idea of developing synthetic
fuel. He does not favor government intervention there.
The private enterprise would be able to go all out for it
provided it does not cost the environmental, economic and
water conservation laws.
While hoping to preserve nuclear power as an option for
the decade ahead, Anderson feels the further expansion of
it should be halted unless there are adequate measures found
to ensure safety from the operation of the reactors and the
dumping of nuclear wastes. Anderson wholeheartedly
supports increased research on nuclear fusion, which, if
brought to commercial feasibility, would provide a rela-
tively inexhaustable
Friday the 13th Midnight Friday and Saturday - Friday the 13th
Midnight Friday and Saturday - Friday the 13th
Stuntman 2, 4:30, 7:15, 9:45
Oh God II 1:45, 3:45, 5:45, 7:45, 9:45
It's my Turn 1:30, 3:30, 6:30, 7:30, 9:30
Loving Couples 2, 4, 6, 8, 10

Empire Strikes Back 2, 4:30, 7, 9:30
Somewhere in Time 2, 4, 6, 8, 10
Ordinary People: 1:13, 4, 7, 15, 9:45
First Deadly Sin 1, 3:15, 5:30, 7:45, 10

Lafayette Square: Private Benjamin 1, 3:15, 5:30, 7:45, 10

THE INDIAN WANTS THE BRONX

November 2 Sunday at 8pm
November 4, Tuesday at 12:30pm and 8:00pm

Just a Reply

In reply to the letter by the Secretary of the Booster Club that appeared in the Carbon last week, may we respectfully say that our letter requesting a democratic procedure in selecting a theme and a song was not meant to distress any member of the efficient Booster Club. It was only a request to make available any or many song suggestions brought up in the Booster club meetings, to the Marian public and seek their help in deciding on a particular song. After all, they are paying. Why not give us all a chance for our say? It is difficult for us all to meet at the same time at the Booster Club meetings. Hence we suggest the decisions affecting the community as a whole be put out for all our view and be given a chance to express our opinion.

Some of us like "Lady" by Kenny Rogers to be the song for the dance. Rogers is also the western singer who would mingle well with your theme.

Last year, the Junior class let us vote our choice when they chose the song for the prom. Your present president was a very active member of that class. We congratulate him and the class for opening up a democratic door that automatically brought forth a sense of involvement by the community here. We would like to see the Booster Club boost that spirit.

Thank you,

Neel Emmanuel Kevin Molites Paul Butz Jim Miller Pat McIntire Tom Linkmeyer Tuan Kim Phung

and other concerned students.

An exterior comment. I feel that this call for an equal voice among those who will be affected by an act executed by an official student government is nothing more than a call for democratic process. The actions of the Booster Club will effect each of us and so a school wide referendum is no more than answering a call for citizen's rights. If these rights are refused it would be a demonstration of oligarchic rule and is definitely offensive to the spirit of an election year.

D. Hanley
Harold Oswald

---Wiley's Dictionary---