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Comparison of patient tolerance of photodynamic therapy with zone vs. full face treatment

Kevin M. Crawford, Joseph S. Aleshaki
Indiana University Department of Dermatology, Marian University College of Osteopathic Medicine

Introduction

Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) is a promising treatment option for actinic keratosis, superficial basal cell carcinoma, and in-situ squamous cell carcinoma. The fundamental basis of PDT lies in the selective destruction of target tissue photochemical reaction when light is absorbed by a photosensitizing agent applied on the affected skin surface. In an effort to increase patient compliance, dermatologists systematically apply photosensitizing agents to smaller, defined treatment areas.

We hypothesize that development of standardized treatment zones for photodynamic therapy would result in improved patient comfort, higher patient retention, and less severe reactions while maintaining the efficacy of full face treatments.

Methods

Five hundred patients with 12 or more actinic keratosis were selected for the study. Patients were divided into full face (n=250) vs. zone treatments (n=250) for their PDT treatments. Treatments were separated by 3-4 weeks. Full face was defined as the entire forehead, nose, bilateral cheeks, entire chin, and bilateral ears.

Patients were prepped with acetone and 20% aminolevulinic acid solution (Levulan) and incubated for 60 minutes. They were exposed to narrow band blue fluorescence for 16 minutes and 40 seconds.

After 48-72 hours post-treatment, patients were asked the following questions:

1. Grade their tolerance of the treatment regimen as Excellent, Fair, or Poor
2. Would you have the treatment again (Yes/No/Unsure)

For the Zone Treatment Group, Zones were defined as:
1. Zone A: Forehead, nose
2. Zone B: Left ear, left cheek, left chin
3. Zone C: Right ear, right cheek, right chin

Results

Zone treatment resulted in a higher number of excellent responses for patient tolerance vs. full face treatment group (85 % vs. 39%, P<0.0001).

More patients would undergo follow up zone treatments versus full face treatment (96% vs. 77.9%, P<0.0001).

There were fewer severe reactions in the zone treatment group (92.8% vs. 68.0%, P<0.0001).

Conclusions

Our study found that zone therapy patients experienced increased comfort, satisfaction, and retention with fewer complications as compared to full face treatment.

A limitation of this study includes that it has been performed at a single, rural clinic in Southern Indiana. Geographic, climate and other differences must be considered before assuming that these results are able to be generalized to other patient populations.

While patient tolerance is improved with zone therapy, the increase in cost of the procedure should not be ignored.

Levulan Kerasticks currently cost approximately $160. Therefore, reagent cost alone for zone therapy is $960 vs. $350 for full face treatment. Additionally, the Medicare reimbursement for PDT treatment itself is approximately $125. Thus, zone treatment accrues a cost of $750 vs. $250 for full face treatments.

Each dermatologist should pose the question whether the benefits of increased patient tolerance, comfort, and retention outweigh the obvious increase in cost of the regimen. 6 Zone treatments also require several weeks more time to complete than 2 full face treatments.
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